

# North Carolina Ecosystem Response to Climate Change: DENR Assessment of Effects and Adaptation Measures

*DRAFT*

## Wet Pine Savannas

### **Ecosystem Group Description:**

The communities in Wet Pine Savannas are Coastal Plain mineral soil wetlands that under natural conditions were frequently burned. With frequent fire they have an open canopy dominated by longleaf or pond pine over a grassy herb layer. Shrubs are short and sparse with frequent fire, but become dense if fire is suppressed for more than a couple of years.

The Pine Savanna type occurs in flat areas that are saturated or even slightly flooded during the wetter parts of the year. The herb layer is dominated by grasses and sedges and a variety of low shrubs may be present, but are low and open if the savanna is frequently burned. The herb layer usually contains many showy composites, orchids, and insectivorous plants. One of the most notable features of Pine Savanna communities is their tremendous plant diversity at small scales. Sandhill Seeps occur on sloping seepage areas, where the wettest parts are essentially permanently saturated. They share many species with the Pine Savanna type but are more heterogeneous and more bog-like in character. In frequently burned seeps, grassy and sedgy areas can have a high diversity of plants, rivaling the Pine Savannas in species richness at small scales. Wet Pine Flatwoods communities usually occur in flat areas, though sloping areas are possible. They resemble Pine Savannas in general structure, with an open pine canopy over a grassy ground cover with low shrubs. Wiregrass is always the dominant herb. Shrubs become dense if fire is excluded. Unlike Pine Savannas, the herb diversity is low. In many cases, only one to five species may be present in a square meter.

### **Ecosystem Level Effects:**

#### **Predicted Impacts of Climate Change:**

| Climate Change Factor: | Likelihood: | Effect: | Magnitude: | Comments: |
|------------------------|-------------|---------|------------|-----------|
| Wind Damage            | High        | Neg     | Med        |           |
| Mild Winters           | High        | Mix     | Low        |           |
| Fire                   | Low         | Mix     | High       |           |
| Drought                | High        | Neg     | Low        |           |

Climate Wizard data (Maurer et al., 2007) for the Holly Shelter area show a mid-value average annual temperature increase of 3.3 degrees, with a range among models of 2.28-4.79 degrees. Mid-value average rainfall change is a decrease of 2 inches, but the range of model rainfall predictions range from a decrease of 19 inches to an increase of 18 inches.

General forecasts suggest an increase in severe storms and in droughts. Severe storms may cause more

wind damage to canopy trees. The effect of drought is less certain. These systems occur mostly in low-lying areas that are unlikely to become extremely dry even in droughts. However, many species may be excluded from them at present by wetness. Many savannas have ditches in or near them. The effects of these ditches on site hydrology and on the ecosystems are not well known. They do not seem to completely dry the sites, but might exacerbate the effects of drought.

Increased drought conditions and increased thunderstorm intensity may lead to more wild fires. These systems depend on fire and are often degraded by lack of fire. An increase in wild fires may allow some occurrences to burn in a way that is ecologically beneficial. However, wild fires in drought may be more likely to be too intense or extensive and to harm some species. Wild fire is generally easy to control in these systems, but small patches may be completely burned before fires are controlled. Fire fighting often damages savannas, and this damage could increase. Increased drought might make prescribed burning harder, leading to a decrease in fire in protected examples. In particular, many of the best savannas in conservation management are burned using wet pocosins as firebreaks to contain the fire in the savannas. If conditions become drier, this may be less possible. In addition, flammability of pocosins varies with season, and a change in seasonal phenology that makes them flammable earlier in the season would limit prescribed burning in savannas.

Mild winters, with decreased cold damage, may allow species from the south to move into North Carolina.

**Predicted Ecosystem Responses:**

| Ecosystem Response:     | Likelihood: | Effect: | Magnitude: | Comments:           |
|-------------------------|-------------|---------|------------|---------------------|
| Exotic species invasion | High        | Neg     | Med        | Imperata cylindrica |
| Compositional Change    | Low         | Mix     | Low        |                     |
| Latitudinal Change      | Low         | Pos     | Low        | Limited opportunity |
| Structural Change       | Med         | Neg     | Low        | Wind damage         |

These systems range well to the south of North Carolina. They and their component species are well adapted to warm temperatures. Increased temperatures might increase the range of these systems in the northern Coastal Plain and in Virginia. Most plants in these systems have limited dispersal ability even locally, so any influx of native species from the south is likely to be slow. Mobile animals are more likely to be able to move. However, the widespread conversion of potential sites in this region, the fragmented distribution of examples, and their dependence on fire, make natural expansion difficult.

Increased wind storm damage could affect canopy structure. Because of the slow reproductive rate and long life span of longleaf pine, increased wind mortality would reduce average age and might reduce natural canopy density. This would be detrimental to red-cockaded woodpeckers and other species that depend on older longleaf pine trees. This was observed after Hurricane Hugo in South Carolina in 1989. However, such effects would be small compared to the widespread similar effects from logging. Longleaf pines are among the least susceptible trees to wind destruction, and it is unclear how significant increased wind will be to them. Increased plant productivity with a longer growing season may partly offset the effect of reduced tree age on structure, allowing trees to become large faster. However, nutrient limitation will likely limit increased productivity in these systems.

These systems are not very susceptible to invasive plants currently. Cogon grass (*Imperata cylindrica*) is a serious invader in states to the south. It is spreading northward at present, and it is unclear if temperature currently limits its invasion of North Carolina. But warmer climate is likely to enhance its spread. Fire ants

are already a serious invader in these systems. Warmer temperatures may allow an increase in their abundance or rate of spread.

There may be direct effects of increased CO<sub>2</sub> on plant competitive relations. The dominant grasses in these systems use the C<sub>4</sub> photosynthetic pathway. Increased CO<sub>2</sub> likely will benefit plants with the C<sub>3</sub> pathway more than C<sub>4</sub>. But this effect may be completely masked by the effects of fire.

If droughts are frequent enough, species of drier communities that are currently excluded by wet periods may be able to establish in them. However, if wet spells return after drought, this may be offset. While species of dry longleaf pine communities are presumably excluded from wet pine savannas by moisture, most other species are excluded more by frequent fire. If examples can be burned, composition is unlikely to change much.

## Habitat Level Effects:

### Natural Communities:

Third Approximation Name:

Comments:

Wet Pine Flatwoods

The sandy soils of Wet Pine Flatwoods probably make them more subject to drought than the savannas on finer-textured soils.

Sandhill Seep

Sandhill Seeps are probably more vulnerable than other community types in this group because they depend on movement of shallow ground water. Droughts would dry them up, perhaps enough for plants to experience water stress.

Pine Savanna

### LHI Guilds:

Guilds with Significant Concentration in Ecosystem Group:    Comments:

Wet-Mesic Pine Woodlands

Savannas and Wet, Sandy, Herbaceous Swales

Sandhill Seeps and Wet, Sandy Herbaceous Swales

Herbaceous Peatlands and Pitcher Plant Meadows

Habitat for two of the guilds, Savannas and Wet, Sandy, Herbaceous Swales and Sandhill Seeps and Wet, Sandy Herbaceous Swales, is completely contained within this Ecosystem Group. Wet Mesic Pine Woodlands, and Herbaceous Peatlands and Pitcher Plant Meadows also have a high concentration of their habitats within this Ecosystem Group, but also occur in association with other types. With the exception of the Herbaceous Peatlands and Pitcher Plant Meadows guild, which has at least some members with a more northerly distribution, most of the members of this guild occur south to Florida and the Gulf Coast and are unlikely to be significantly affected by rising temperatures. As members of fire-dependent communities, they should also benefit to some extent by increasing frequencies of fire, but only where habitats are divided into a sufficient number of burn units, not all of which burn in any one fire, to allow for rescue effects. Increased frequency of droughts may exacerbate the impacts of wildfires, making them more catastrophic in terms of both their severity and overall extent. Drought may also have adverse impacts both on the members of the guilds themselves, all of which are adapted to fairly wet microclimates, and to the herbaceous plant species on which they depend.

## Species Level Effects:

### Plants

| Species:                        | Element Rank: | Endemic | Major Disjunct | Extinction/<br>Extirpation<br>Prone | Status:<br>US/NC | Comments:   |
|---------------------------------|---------------|---------|----------------|-------------------------------------|------------------|---|
| <i>Scleria</i> sp. 1            | G1/S1         | Yes     |                |                                     | FSC/SR-L         | Extremely rare and dependent on combination of wet conditions and frequent fire. Changes in climate that result in changes in habitat could cause extinction. |
| <i>Ludwigia ravenii</i>         | G1G2/S1       |         |                |                                     | FSC/SR-T         |   |
| <i>Allium</i> sp. 1             | G1G2/S1S2     | Yes     |                |                                     | FSC/SR-L         | Extremely rare and dependent on combination of wet conditions and frequent fire. Changes in climate that result in changes in habitat could cause extinction. |
| <i>Campylopus carolinae</i>     | G2/S1S2       |         |                |                                     | FSC/SR-T         |   |
| <i>Carex lutea</i>              | G2/S2         | Yes     |                |                                     | E/E              | Extremely rare and dependent on combination of wet conditions and frequent fire. Changes in climate that result in changes in habitat could cause extinction. |
| <i>Balduina atropurpurea</i>    | G2/S1         | Yes     |                |                                     | FSC/SR-T         | Extremely rare and dependent on combination of wet conditions and frequent fire. Changes in climate that result in changes in habitat could cause extinction. |
| <i>Sporobolus teretifolius</i>  | G2/S2         | Yes     |                |                                     | FSC/T            |   |
| <i>Rudbeckia heliopsidis</i>    | G2/S1         |         |                |                                     | FSC/E            |   |
| <i>Thalictrum cooleyi</i>       | G2/S2         |         |                |                                     | E/E              |   |
| <i>Packera crawfordii</i>       | G2G3/S1       |         |                |                                     | /SR-T            |   |
| <i>Calopogon multiflorus</i>    | G2G3/S1       | Yes     |                |                                     | FSC/E            |   |
| <i>Cirsium lecontei</i>         | G2G3/S2       |         |                |                                     | /SR-P            |   |
| <i>Spiranthes longilabris</i>   | G3/S1         |         |                |                                     | /T               |   |
| <i>Rhynchospora thornei</i>     | G3/S2         |         |                |                                     | FSC/E            |   |
| <i>Polygala hookeri</i>         | G3/S2S3       |         |                |                                     | /SR-T            |   |
| <i>Parnassia caroliniana</i>    | G3/S2         | Yes     |                |                                     | FSC/E            |   |
| <i>Lysimachia asperulifolia</i> | G3/S3         | Yes     |                |                                     | E/E              |   |
| <i>Dionaea muscipula</i>        | G3/S3         |         |                |                                     | FSC/SR-L, SC     |   |
| <i>Plantago sparsiflora</i>     | G3/S1S2       |         |                |                                     | FSC/E            |   |
| <i>Xyris chapmanii</i>          | G3/S3         |         |                |                                     | /SR-T            |   |
| <i>Xyris scabrifolia</i>        | G3/S2         |         |                |                                     | FSC/SR-T         |   |
| <i>Helianthus floridanus</i>    | G3G4/S1       |         |                |                                     | /E               |   |
| <i>Platanthera integra</i>      | G3G4/S2       |         |                |                                     | /T               |   |
| <i>Solidago leavenworthii</i>   | G3G4/S1       |         |                |                                     | /SR-P            |   |
| <i>Xyris serotina</i>           | G3G4/S1       |         |                |                                     | /SR-P            |   |
| <i>Aristida simpliciflora</i>   | G3G4/S1S2     |         |                |                                     | /SR-T            |   |

|   |             |       |
|---|-------------|-------|
| <i>Rhynchospora breviseta</i>                             | G3G4/S2S3   | /SR-P |
| <i>Agalinis virgata</i>                                   | G3G4Q/S2    | /SR-P |
| <i>Amorpha georgiana</i> var. <i>georgiana</i>            | G3T2/S2     | FSC/E |
| <i>Amorpha confusa</i>                                    | G3T3/S3     | FSC/T |
| <i>Pinguicula pumila</i>                                  | G4/S2       | /SR-P |
| <i>Lophiola aurea</i>                                     | G4/S2       | /E    |
| <i>Hypoxis rigida</i>                                     | G4/S2       | /SR-P |
| <i>Helenium pinnatifidum</i>                              | G4/S2       | /SR-P |
| <i>Drosera filiformis</i>                                 | G4/S2       | /SR-P |
| <i>Asclepias pedicellata</i>                              | G4/S3       | /SR-P |
| <i>Hypoxis sessilis</i>                                   | G4/SH       | /SR-P |
| <i>Carex verrucosa</i>                                    | G4/S2       | /SR-P |
| <i>Scleria baldwinii</i>                                  | G4/S2       | /SR-P |
| <i>Sarracenia minor</i>                                   | G4/S2       | /T    |
| <i>Agrostis altissima</i>                                 | G4/S2       | /SR-T |
| <i>Xyris stricta</i>                                      | G4/S1       | /SR-P |
| <i>Rhynchospora divergens</i>                             | G4/S2       | /SR-P |
| <i>Helenium vernale</i>                                   | G4?/S1      | /E    |
| <i>Hypoxis juncea</i>                                     | G4?/S1      | /SR-P |
| <i>Andropogon mohrii</i>                                  | G4?/S2      | /SR-P |
| <i>Arnoglossum ovatum</i>                                 | G4G5/S2     | /SR-P |
| <i>Pinguicula lutea</i>                                   | G4G5/S2     | /SR-P |
| <i>Hypericum suffruticosum</i>                            | G4G5/SH     | /SR-P |
| <i>Hypericum brachyphyllum</i>                            | G5/S1S2     | /SR-P |
| <i>Platanthera nivea</i>                                  | G5/S1       | /T    |
| <i>Trifolium carolinianum</i>                             | G5/SH       | /SR-O |
| <i>Tridens strictus</i>                                   | G5/SH       | /SR-P |
| <i>Dichanthelium spretum</i>                              | G5/S1S2     | /SR-D |
| <i>Cladium mariscoides</i>                                | G5/S3       | /SR-O |
| <i>Linum floridanum</i> var.<br><i>chrysocarpum</i>       | G5?T3?/S1S2 | /SR-T |
| <i>Rhynchospora pinetorum</i>                             | G5?T3?/S2   | /SR-T |
| <i>Pityopsis graminifolia</i> var.<br><i>graminifolia</i> | G5T4/S1     | /SR-P |
| <i>Xyris floridana</i>                                    | G5T4T5/S1   | /SR-P |

Many rare plant species associated with Wet Pine Savannas are at the northern limit of their range in NC. These species are rare in NC, but are considered globally secure, as their habitat is widespread throughout the southeastern United States. Their fate, however, is tied to that of the longleaf pine system, which has been dramatically reduced over the past 200 years.

Like many wet savanna plants, endemic species such as Golden Sedge (*Carex lutea*) and Savanna Onion (*Allium* sp. 1) are dependent on the combination of wet conditions and frequent fire. Changes in climate that

reduce frequency of fire or degree of moisture could cause extinction of the rarest species.

### Terrestrial Animals

| Species:                                 | Element Rank: | Endemic | Major Disjunct | Extinction/Extirpation Prone | Status: US/NC/WAP | Comments:   |
|--|---------------|---------|----------------|------------------------------|-------------------|---|
| Hemipachnobia subporphyrea               | G1/S1?        | Yes     |                | Yes                          | FSC/SR/           | Except for a single Maryland population, all known populations are from flytrap-containing savannas in North Carolina. This species appears to be drastically affected by loss of metapopulation structure and is likely to be vulnerable to increases in the severity and extent of wildfires. |
| Atrytonopsis loammi                      | G1/SH         |         | Yes            | Yes                          | FSC/SR/           | Possibly already extirpated from this region, probably due to loss of metapopulation structure.   |
| Papaipema eryngii                        | G1G2/S1       |         | Yes            | Yes                          | /SR/              | Only a single population is known from the entire Atlantic Slope. May be highly vulnerable to increased environmental perturbations due to near complete loss of metapopulation structure. May, in fact, be already extirpated.   |
| Melanoplus nubilus                       | G2G3/S2S3     | Yes     |                |                              | /SR/              |   |
| Spartiniphaga carterae                   | G2G3/S2S3     |         | Yes            |                              | FSC/SR/           |   |
| Agrotis carolina                         | G2G3/S2S3     | Yes     |                |                              | FSC/SR/           | May be re-synonymized with A. bucholzi, in which case its status changes from Endemic to Major Disjunct   |
| Eotettix pusillus                        | G2G3/S2?      |         |                |                              | /SR/              |   |
| Amblyscirtes alternata                   | G2G4/S2       |         |                |                              | /SR/              |   |
| Exyra ridingsii                          | G2G4/S3?      |         |                |                              | /W3/              | Sandhill populations may have become nearly completely extirpated outside of Fort Bragg, primarily due to loss of metapopulation structure combined with alterations in natural fire frequencies.   |
| Cyclophora n. sp. (culicaria of authors) | G3/S2S3       |         | Yes            | Yes                          | /SR/              | Apparently confined to the Boiling Spring Lakes area, either as a disjunct population or possibly a separate taxon.   |
| Ophisaurus mimicus                       | G3/S2         |         |                |                              | FSC/SC/P          |   |
| Schinia carolinensis                     | G3/S2S3       |         |                |                              | /SR/              |   |
| Exyra semicrocea                         | G3G4/S2S3     |         |                |                              | /SR/              |   |
| Amblyscirtes carolina                    | G3G4/S3S4     |         |                |                              | /W2/              | Should benefit from increased spread of fire-maintained cane meadows.   |
| Acronicta sinescripta                    | G3G4/S1S3     |         |                |                              | /SR/              |   |
| Amblyscirtes reversa                     | G3G4/S3       |         |                |                              | /SR/              | Should benefit from increased spread of fire-maintained cane meadows.   |
| Melanoplus decorus                       | G3G4/S2S3     | Yes     |                |                              | /SR/              |   |
| Atrytone arogos arogos                   | G3T1T2/S1     |         | Yes            | Yes                          | FSC/SR/           | Extremely vulnerable to wildfires due to drastic reduction in number of populations and loss of metapopulation structure  |
| Rhadinaea flavilata                      | G4/S3         |         |                |                              | /W2/P             |   |

|  |           |     |      |   |
|--|-----------|-----|------|---|
| <i>Papaipema appassionata</i>            | G4/S2S3   |     | /SR/ | Sandhill populations may have become nearly completely extirpated outside of Fort Bragg, primarily due to loss of metapopulation structure combined with alterations in natural fire frequencies. |
| <i>Argyrostrotis quadrifilaris</i>       | G4/S3?    |     | /W3/ |   |
| <i>Leptostales laevitaria</i>            | G4/SU     |     | /W3/ |   |
| <i>Calephelis virginiensis</i>           | G4/S2     |     | /SR/ |   |
| <i>Eubaphe meridiana</i>                 | G4/S2S3   |     | /SR/ |   |
| <i>Exyra fax</i>                         | G4/S3?    |     | /W3/ | Sandhill populations may have become nearly completely extirpated outside of Fort Bragg, primarily due to loss of metapopulation structure combined with alterations in natural fire frequencies. |
| <i>Grammia placentia</i>                 | G4/S2S3   |     | /SR/ |   |
| <i>Lagoa pyxidifera</i>                  | G4G5/S2S3 |     | /SR/ |   |
| <i>Gabara distema humeralis</i>          | G4T4/S3?  |     | /W3/ |   |
| <i>Pseudacris nigrita</i>                | G5/S4     |     | //P  |   |
| <i>Arphia granulata</i>                  | G5/S2S4   |     | /W3/ |   |
| <i>Hesperotettix viridis brevipennis</i> | G5T5/SU   |     | /W3/ |   |
| <i>Tornos cinctarius</i>                 | GNR/S2?   |     | /SR/ |   |
| <i>Apamea mixta</i>                      | GU/S1S2   | Yes | /SR/ | Primarily and northern and montane species with disjunct populations in coastal savannas.   |

Three species of insects are endemics or near-endemics to Wet Pine Savanna habitats in North Carolina. Five others are major disjuncts, with their next nearest populations in New Jersey, Florida, or in the case of *Papaipema eryngii*, the tallgrass prairies of the Midwest (*Apamea mixta* appears to have a highly disjunct population in the coastal savannas but also occurs in the Southern Appalachians).

While all of these species are associated with fire-maintained habitats, the majority depend on having a metapopulation structure to cope with fire, as well as other environmental perturbations. Five of these species have substantially lost their metapopulation structure and have become highly vulnerable to the effects of single catastrophic events, including wildfires.

## Combined Threats and Synergistic Impacts:

### Importance of Climate Change Factors Compared to Other Ecosystem Threats:

| Threat:                           | Rank Order: | Comments:  |
|-----------------------------------|-------------|--|
| Development                       | 1           |  |
| Conversion to agriculture/sylvicu | 2           | The threat of agricultural conversion has reduced in recent years (having greatly reduced habitat historically), but pine plantation conversion continues. |
| Logging/Exploitation              | 2           |  |
| Fire                              | 3           | Inadequate fire is the greatest threat to protected examples.  |

The greatest threats to this system do not come from climate change. Conversion and exploitation have destroyed most of this once-extensive system, and continue to consume the remnants. Inadequate fire and its consequences are the greatest ecological threat among protected examples, and continue to threaten unprotected examples (although development, conversion, and logging are greater threats at unprotected sites).

Climate change may exacerbate some of these problems. In the current settled landscape, these systems depend on prescribed burning for the fire they need. Increased difficulty burning may lead to further deterioration. In addition, severe wild fires in droughts, burning in excessive fuel loads, may cause ecological damage. Because many examples are now fragmented and isolated, uncontrolled fire that burns whole patches is a significant threat to many insect populations.

## Recommendations for Action:

### Interventive Measures:

| Intervention:                          | Importance: | Feasibility: | Comments:   |
|--|-------------|--------------|---|
| Restore/Maintain Hydrology             | Mediu       | High         |   |
| Restore/Maintain Landscape Connections | Mediu       | Medium       | Good restoration is difficult in these systems and will take time and effort.               |
| Control Invasive Species               | High        | High         | Watch for arrival of Imperata and other new invaders and control promptly.                  |
| Conduct Prescribed Burns               | High        | High         | Burning is difficult for some examples, but is more feasible than most other interventions. |
| Protect/Expand Remaining Examples      | High        | High         |   |

Prescribed burning is crucial for retaining these systems in both the present and the expected future climate. It is important in preparing for climate change because excess fuel loads increase the risk of destructive wild fire during droughts. Beginning prescribed burning programs before droughts become severe is important, as reducing fuel loads safely while avoiding ecological damage takes time. Sites that have had regular fire will be safer from wild fire, will represent less of a wild fire hazard to adjacent areas, and will allow prescribed burning to continue into drier conditions. Regular burning will also promote healthy, diverse communities and species, which will allow the best potential for communities to adapt to changing climate.

Because so few examples remain, and many rare species are associated with this habitat, protecting and expanding remaining examples is crucial with or without climate change. Because these systems are likely to withstand the stresses of changing climate well, restoring more of them in the near future would produce more resilient natural landscapes.

Protecting and restoring landscape connections is important to allow movements of mobile species and to improve the viability of small populations. The need for this will increase with the stresses of a changing climate. Improving landscape connections would also make them more resilient to the impacts of wild fire.

Although no invasive exotic plants are a serious problem in these systems now, early detection and control of invasive exotic species (such as cogon grass) will reduce the ecological damage caused by invasives and the cost of controlling them. Preventative measures such as forbidding sale and transport of invasive species will

help reduce the risks and cost. Fire ants are already a serious cause for concern for many of the animal species that inhabit savannas. The development of multi-queen colonies, resulting in greatly increased hive densities, should be regarded as a major threat to savanna ecosystems. High hive densities are already a serious problem along the Gulf Coast and may spread northward aided by decreased winter severity.

## **Ecosystem Group Summary:**

Wet Pine Savannas are likely to be resilient to climate change effects. Most of their component species range well to the south of North Carolina. They are tolerant of drought, fire, and wind. Many have broad tolerance of varying moisture and nutrient conditions. However, they have been drastically reduced by conversion to other uses and degraded by lack of fire. This makes them more vulnerable to loss of species and degradation both by climate change and by other threats. Protection of remaining examples and restoration of degraded examples would help the Coastal Plain landscape adapt to future climates, as well as provide benefits under the current climate. Keeping or restoring fire to these systems, through prescribed burning, is crucial to their long term survival in both the present and any future climate.

## **References:**

Maurer, E.P, L.Brekke, T.Pruitt, and P.B. Duffy. 2007. Fine-resolution climate projections enhance regional climate change impact studies. *Eos Trans. AGU*, 88(47), 504.

---